

Overview

- Instant Radiosity [Keller 1997]
- Incremental Instant Radiosity
- Imperfect Shadow Maps

Instant Radiosity

- Goal is to enable "interactive" global illumination in purely diffuse environments
- Approximate direct and indirect lighting with virtual point lights (VPL).



One way to think of Instant Radiosity is the following. All the direct and indirect illumination is approximated with point lights, which are used for rendering.



Instant radiosity works as follows:

Starting from a **direct light source**, so called **virtual point lights** – VPLs – are created, which **represent the indirect illumination**.

Note that a single VPL is essentially a hemispherical light with a cosine-falloff.

To compute the **indirect illumination at some surface location**, we gather **light from all VPLs** .

However, we still need to **take dynamic visibility into account**. For instance, this path is blocked.

The easiest idea is to use **shadow maps**, even though that is expensive.



Derivation is intricate, this is the high-level idea.



Sample direct lighting (L_0), one-bounce indirect (L_1), two-bounce indirect (L_2), etc...

Sum up contributions.

Make sure VPLs are distributed according to average reflectance (raised to the bounce number).

This ensures that there are more VPLs for the direct lighting, and then fewer for the first bounce, and then even fewer for the second bounce, etc.





Pseudo-code for IR.

DERIVATION



Let's go back to the rendering equation and derive Instant Radiosity (IR).

Rendering Equation

• Solving for L: $L = L_e + \mathbf{R}L$ $(1 - \mathbf{R})L = L_e$ $L = (1 - \mathbf{R})^{-1}L_e$ $L = (1 + \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{R}^2 + \mathbf{R}^3 + ...)L_e$ • Radiance towards eye = • direct light from light source • plus light reflected once, • plus light reflected twice, ...

Using the operator notation, we know that radiance towards the eye = \dots

Instant Radiosity

Assume BRDF is diffuse

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i, \omega_r) = \frac{k_d}{\pi}$$

Rewrite rendering equation with *explicit* sampling of *all* possible paths (with length j=0, j=1, j=2, ...)

IR assumes diffuse BRDFs and explicitly samples all possible paths.



First integral part of sum: all light emitted from y' through Pixel

Second part of sum:

-Integrate of pixel

-Sum over all path lengths j

-Integrate over all paths of length j, p_j(...) is assumed to be _valid_ paths!

-Integrate over all light source (starting) positions

-Note that: V() is the visibility of between y_j and y', i.e., y_j is a VPL and y' are locations visible in screen-space.



The definition of p_j(): essentially the radiance after j reflections (assuming valid paths).



Now sample those paths.





Instead of individually deciding which paths to continue, use fractional absorption based on the average reflectivity of the scene.

DERIVATION END

Incremental Updates

- Original paper proposes incremental updates for real-time speeds
 - Keep last N VPLs (and the rendering for each)
 - Replace oldest one with a new VPL
 - Accumulate

General Has problems for dynamic scenes: illumination lags behind

Practical Considerations

- How many total VPLs needed?
 - Artifact-free results: several hundred VPLs
 - Temporal coherent results: about 1000 VPLs

(just rule of thumb!)



Classic Instant Radiosity requires a ray-tracer to follow photons through the scene.

However, for a single bounce this can be easily done on the GPU. To this end, the scene is rendered as seen from the light source into an omnidirectional map.

In particular, positions, normals and direct lighting are rendered.

Then all textures are sampled in parallel at a number of random points, which are importance sampled according to the brightness of the direct illumination. For instance, this gives you this VPL here.

This is essentially the first step of reflective shadow mapping, what was shown before.



VPLs become visible for highly specular surfaces.

Summary

- Instant Radiosity
 - Approximate indirect illumination with VPLs
 - Accumulate contributions from VPLs
- Easy to implement on GPUs
- G Assumes diffuse scenes (or very low-glossy)
- Fast only for small scenes (due to the need for creating many shadow maps/volumes)





In practice, IR needs to render a large number of shadow maps, which is very costly.



In fact, the **shadow map generation** is the **bottleneck**:

Assuming we use 1024 VPLs and a 100k triangle 3d-model.

This means drawing 100 million triangles to fill the 1000 shadow maps .



Incremental Instant Radiosity allows semi-dynamic scenes with moving lights.



The ingredients are known but one: reuse of VPLs for moving lights.



- Stay within budget, e.g. 4-8 new VPLs/frame
- + Benefit: Can reuse shadow maps!
- ! Disclaimer: Scene needs to be static
- § Note: Illumination *does not* lag behind

The main idea is to reuse VPLs from previous frames (assuming static geometry).



The basic algorithm is simple:



Let's assume for now that our main light source is a hemispherical spot-light with a cosine-fall off.

Reprojecting VPLs

- So we have VPLs from previous frame
- Discard ones behind the spot light
- Discard ones behind obstacles
- Reproject the rest










Omnidirectional Lights

Perform all 2D domain actions on the surface of unit sphere



Results

- 256 VPLs in all scenes
- Budget: 4-8 new VPLs per frame

Cornell		
Triangles: original 32 tessellated 4.4k		
Resolution	Time (ms)	FPS
1024×7680	13.9	65.1
1600×1200	26.8	29.7

Maze		
Triangles: original 55k tessellated 63k		
Resolution	Time (ms)	FPS
1024×7680	15.6	49.2
1600×1200	28.6	28.5

Sibenik		
Triangles: original 80k tessellated 109k		
Resolution	Time (ms)	FPS
1024×7680	17.0	48.6
1600×1200	30.1	25.9



Strengths

- O precomputation
- Oynamic objects can receive indirect light
- Real-time performance
- Simplicity
- No temporal aliasing (VPLs are consistent)

Overview

Instant Radiosity

- Incremental Instant Radiosity
- Imperfect Shadow Maps [Ritschel et al. 2008]



Imperfect shadow maps are based on **two key observations**.

- 1. indirect lighting varies smoothly in most scenes.
- 2. the individual contribution of each VPL is small.



Which leads to the conclusion that it is sufficient to use many **low quality depth maps to determine visibility in indirect illumination**, as errors tend to average out.

Here you can see an example, where **using low-quality depth** maps does not impact the final rendering much.

Impo	erfect Shadow Maps
₂ Ob	servation:
Lov ma	v quality (imperfect) depth maps sufficient for ny VPLs that form smooth lighting
≘ Too Effi	ol: cient generation of imperfect shadow maps
₌ Ma	in steps (detailed next)
1.	VPL generation
2.	Point-based depth maps
3.	Pull-push to fill holes
4.	Shading

The main ingredient of ISM is to allow **imperfection** when creating a depth map, which enables a much more efficient generation.

The algorithm consists of **4 steps**:

- 1. VPL generation,
- 2. Point-based depth map generation
- 3. A pull-push operation to fill holes from point rendering
- 4. Shading

I will detail all four steps now.



There should be no VPLs where there is no direct light and there should be VPLs where there is direct light .

To achieve this, the scene is rendered as seen **from the light source** into an **omnidirectional map**.

In particular, positions, normals and direct lighting are rendered.

Then all textures are sampled in parallel at a number of **random points**, which are **importance sampled according** to the **brightness** of the direct illumination. For instance, this gives you this VPL here.



Recall, that our goal is to generate as many depth maps as possible.

Using classic depth maps for this, takes around half a second for the Sponza scene.

We want it much faster, but as high-quality as possible.

We will do this by **simplification**.

We will draw a small number of points instead of a large number of tris, which is much cheaper.

Also LOD for points is very simpler, because they don't require connectivity.



At startup, we **approximate** the surfaces with a set of points.

Each VPL has it's own different set of points; typically, we use 8k points per VPL.

At run-time we **deform** this distribution according to **surface deformations**.

The image to the right visualizes the point set for a single VPL, and as you can see it's quite sparse.



Here's a **classic** shadow map with **triangles** compared to an **imperfect** shadow map with **points**. There are quite a few holes.

Using a process called pull-push, we fill holes, and then the maps are quite similar.

Pull-push is essentially a **hierarchical** method to fill holes, essentially averaging nearby depth values.



Here we show the imperfect shadow of an individual VPL.

A depth map without pull-push will have **light leaks**, that are **fixed** by pull-push.

At least mostly, of course, there are still **some errors** in the depth maps.

However, since we accumulate the result of many VPLs, and the errors are uncorrelated, they tend to average out.



We can do this pull-push step on **all depth maps in parallel**, as we work in texture space.



The rendering of direct and indirect lighting is separated, like in most current methods.

For the **direct** illumination, we use **standard methods**.

For the **indirect**, we **accumulate light** from all VPLs, with a **visibility lookup** into the ISMs.



Interleaved sampling is used for the indirect illumination,

i.e. not every pixel is lit with every VPL, but only, say, 16 random ones out of 1024 VPLs for every pixel (in order to save computation).

Doing so, will result in noise, that is blurred away using a **geometry-aware blur filter**.



Time for some results. Our method is used to render this at 11 FPS, and it looks quite nice.

You can see **color bleeding** as well as **indirect shadows**.

But how does it compare to a reference solution?



This is a Monte Carlo reference rendering.

There are some differences. But remember this is an **extreme case**, where **indirect illumination dominates**.

In more "**normal**" scenes (**without spot lights**), the differences are then almost **indistinguishable**.





Here is a **performance breakdown** of the "Christo's Sponza" scene.

It has **70k triangles** and was rendered **using 1024 VPLs**, with a shadow map of **256x256** each. **8k points** are splatted into each individual depth map.

The ISMs are generated in 44 ms. Generating classic shadow maps instead, takes around **10 to 15 times longer** for this step, because 70k triangles would be drawn per depth map.

This results is more than **11 fps**, on a Geforce 8800 GTX, around **ten times** faster than normal instant radiosity with classic shadow maps.



There are **two** main parameters that can be **tweaked**: the **number of points** and the **shadow map size**.

We have experimented with these, and the findings are not surprising:

More points per VPL yields higher quality, and

larger shadow maps are better, if there are sufficient points available.

In general 128² or 256² shadow maps with 8k points yields good results.



Some ISM results, which range from diffuse bounces in a Cornell box to complex scenes, including multiple bounces, arbitrary local area lights, natural illumination to caustics.



In this example, running at 20 fps, we placed **animated meshes** inside the Cornell box with a dynamic direct light.

Most of the light in this scene is indirect.

Note, how the animals feet cast high-frequency shadows, whereas the animal itself casts a correct soft shadow.

Also note, the subtle variations in shadow color.

Despite the fundamental changes, in indirect lighting there is **no flickering**.



This is a **more complex scene**, where a deforming cloth is placed inside the Sponza model.

To achieve sufficient temporal coherence, we need 1024 VPLs in this example.

Note, how the bounced light color **changes drastically** when the cloth is moving. Also note, the **indirect shadow** from the columns.

No other method can do this - all **previous real-time** methods were **essentially limited** to **static scenes**.



Finally, we are **not limited to diffuse materials**.

Here, we have a gold ring, casting a caustic at 15 fps with full indirect visibility.



Imperfect reflective shadow map, generalize all this to additional bounces.



Why couldn't we use the **same idea** for direct lighting and **approximate** it with a **number of point lights**.

In fact, that is easily possible. Let's look at two results.



In this example, animals using **direct natural illumination** are rendered using ISMs. Note the shadows and the glossy highlights.



In a similar way, we can generate **local soft shadows from complex area lights**, even **with varying color**.

Again, here the area light is **approximated** with many **point lights** and use **ISMs for rendering**.

Notice the **glossy** reflections on the **floor**. This is even **difficult for offline** rendering methods.

There is **no other method** that can do this at this speed.

This example runs at **15fps**.



In summary, **visibility for global illumination effects can be drastically simplified** and **a simple, practical method to exploit this on current graphics hardware** was shown.

Note that in the limit, i.e. with enough points, our method yields correct results.

It can also be used for direct illumination, where it's not quite as fast as the first technique, but more flexible.

There are some limitations, ISMs could be more **scalable** to very large scenes (like an office building).

Also, parameters are currently set by hand, an automated method for setting the parameters is not available.

Schedule

8:30 – 8:40 Introduction (Kautz)

- Motivation
- Problem Statement
- Definitions (Rendering Equation, Neumann Series, ...)
- Direct Illumination vs. Indirect Illumination

8:40 – 9:10 Screen Space Techniques (Dachsbacher)

- Screen-Space Ambient Occlusion (SSAO)
- Extending SSAO to Indirect Illumination
- Reflective Shadow Maps
- (Multiresolution) Splatting of Indirect Illumination
- Examples, Results, Limitations

- 9:10 9:40 Virtual Point Lights (Kautz)
 - Instant Radiosity
 - Incremental Instant Radiosity
 - Imperfect Shadow Maps
 - Examples, Results, Limitations

9:40 – 10:05 Hierarchical Finite Elements (Dachsbacher)

- Dynamic Ambient Occlusion for Indirect Illumination
- Implicit Visibility
- Anti-Radiance
- Examples, Results, Limitations

10:05 – 10:15 Conclusions/Summary (Kautz)

- * Comparison of Presented Techniques
- * Q&A